Sequential generation of Polynomial Invariants and N-body non-local correlations

S. Shelly Sharma[1,*] and N. K. Sharma[2,†]

[1] *Departamento de Física, Universidade Estadual de Londrina, Londrina 86051-990, PR Brazil*
[2] *Departamento de Matematica, Universidade Estadual de Londrina, Londrina 86051-990, PR Brazil*

We report an inductive process that allows for sequential construction of polynomial local uitary invariants of state coefficients for multipartite quantum states. The starting point can be a physically meaningful invariant of a smaller part of the system. The process is applied to construct a chain of invariants that quantify GHZ state like non-local $N-$way correlations in an $N-$qubit pure state. It also yields the invariants to qantify the sum of $N-$way and $(N-1)$-way correlations. Analytic expressions for four-way and three-way correlation quantifiers for four qubit states, as well as, five-way and four-way correlation quantifiers for five qubit pure states are given.

PACS numbers:

arXiv:1404.7445v3 [quant-ph] 18 Dec 2015

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum entanglement, regarded as one of the most prominent features of quantum mechanics, is a nonlocal property of a quantum state determined by quantum correlations present in the system. Entanglement is a necessary ingredient of any quantum computation and a physical resource for quantum cryptography and quantum communication. The negativity [1, 2] of partial transpose [3] of the state operator was introduced to detect and measure entanglement of bipartite states. Multipartite entanglement that comes into play in quantum systems with more than two subsystems, is a resource for multiuser quantum information tasks. Since the mathematical structure of multipartite states is much more complex than that of bipartite states, the classification of multipartite entanglement is a far more challenging task.

It is natural to expect that the intrinsic non-local correlations in a multipartite system are a function of non-local correlations present in constituent sub-systems. Therefore, polynomial functions of state coefficients that remain invariant under local unitary operations are used to quantify non-local correlations present in the state. Local unitary invariance implies that the value of invariant is independent of the choice of local basis for the subsystem. Local unitary invariant polynomial functions of state coefficients are known to discriminate between and quantify distinct entanglement types as in the case of three qubit states [4]. Relations between polynomial invariants and entanglement have been investigated in [9–12]. In this article, we report a general inductive process which allows to sequentially generate polynomial unitary invariants for a multipartite quantum state from known subsystem invariants. The process is described in the context of qubit systems but can be generalized to multilevel systems with $d > 2$. The physical meaning of set of polynomial invariants generated through the process can be inferred from the subsystem invariant which is selected as the starting point. Main result of the article is that an N-way tangle is a function of invariants that quantify $(N-1)$-way tangles of an N-qubit state, while a weighted sum of $(N-1)$-way tangles determines the total amount of N-way and $(N-1)$-way quantum correlations present in the pure state. An $N-$way tangle is a computable measure of genuine N-way entanglement of an $N-$qubit pure state.

Luque and Thibon [5, 6] have used classical invariant theory to obtain unitary polynomial invariants for four qubit systems. The invariants got geometrical meaning in the work of Levay [7, 8]. Other interesting efforts to calculate the polynomial invariants of four and more qubits include refs. [13–25]. Usual approach is to look for all the polynomial invariants for a given number of qubits. As the number of local unitary invariants grows exponentially with the number of constituent subsystems, it becomes a formidable task to identify physically meaningful invariants out of a maze of invariants. Using our process, one can focus on construction of invariants that detect and quantify a specific property of a multipartite system and the constituent subsystems in a quantum state. In particular, the polynomial invariants that have physical interpretation in terms of entanglement are of great interest as these may be used to quantify the entanglement resource content of a pure state. It is known that local unitaries cannot link a state on which a given polynomial invariant is non-zero to another state on which the value of same invariant is null. Selective sequential generation of polynomial invariants, proposed in this letter, makes it possible to group together states with a specific type of entanglement.

*Electronic address: shelly@uel.br
†Electronic address: nsharma@uel.br

To illustrate the effectiveness of our inductive process we describe the sequence of steps to construct polynomial invariants that detect and quantify GHZ- like $N-$way non-local correlations. Our starting point is a degree two polynomial invariant, which is the negative eigenvalue of partially transposed two qubit pure state. Global negativity [1, 2] or equivalently concurrence [26] of a two qubit pure state in known to quantify two-way correlations. Three tangle [27] of a three qubit pure state, is an entanglement monotone that quantifies GHZ- state like three-way correlations. Four -way correlations in a four qubit pure state are quantified by four-tangle [28], a function of degree eight polynomial invariant. Three tangle and four tangle are moduli of specific local unitary invariants that are polynomial functions of state coefficients. The process allows us to relate global negativity, three tangle, and four-tangle to five tangle and higher degree tangles. Exact mathematical relation between $N-$way polynomial invariants and $(N-1)-$way invariants of a quantum state is found.

In section II, we discuss the structure of $(N-1)$ qubit invariants of an N qubit pure state. An index raising operator that relates the elements in the set of $(N-1)$ qubit invariants is, also, defined. Step by step construction of three qubit invariants of four qubit state, by successive applications of index raising operator, is given in Appendix A. The formalism to construct $N-$qubit invariants in terms of $(N-1)$ qubit invariants is given in section III. Appendix B is a note on transvection process to obtain invariants of a binary form. The principal construction tools that is local unitary (LU) invariance, selective partial transposition [29, 30] and notion of negativity fonts, used in our earlier works [18, 19], are also needed for sequential construction of polynomial invariants that quantify N-way correlations. For the sake of completeness, we define the determinants of negativity fonts in section IV. In section V, we generate polynomial invariants with negativity of partial transpose of a two qubit pure state as the starting point. To illustrate the process, an invariant that can quantify five qubit GHZ state like correlations is written down and five-tangle defined. Although some five qubit invariants have been reported in refs [6], an analytical form in terms of state coefficients for a degree 16 invariant that detects genuine five-way GHZ- state like correlations is being reported for the first time. A summary of results is in section VI.

II. STRUCTURE OF $(N-1)$ QUBIT INVARIANTS OF AN N QUBIT STATE

A quantum system of N isolated qubits in a pure state is described by a wavefunction

$$\left|\Psi^{A_1A_2...A_N}\right\rangle = \sum_{i_1i_2...i_N} a_{i_1i_2...i_N} \left|i_1i_2...i_N\right\rangle, \tag{1}$$

where $\{|e_\mu\rangle = |i_1i_2...i_N\rangle : \mu = 1, d\}$ is the set of basis vectors spanning a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \left(\mathbb{C}^2\right)^{\otimes N}$ of dimension $d = 2^N$, and A_p is the location of qubit number p. The coefficients $a_{i_1i_2...i_N}$ are complex numbers. The local basis states of a single qubit are labelled by $i_m = 0$ and 1, where $m = 1, 2, ..., N$. How does a degree k function of state coefficients transform under a local unitary? The state space of k copies of the state, $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes k}$, is spanned by vectors in the set $B^k = \{|e_{\mu_1}\rangle \otimes ... \otimes |e_{\mu_k}\rangle : \mu_i = 1, d\}$. We may write $B^k = \cup_{m=0}^{k} B_q^{k-m}$, where the subset B_q^{k-m} defined as B_q^{k-m}=$\left\{|e_{\mu_1}\rangle \otimes ... \otimes |e_{\mu_k}\rangle : 0_q^{\otimes k-m} \otimes 1_q^{\otimes m}, m = 0, k; \mu_i = 1, d\right\}$, contains those elements in which $|i_q = 0\rangle$ appears $k-m$ times and $|i_q = 1\rangle$ appears m times. The number of elements in subset B_q^{k-m} is given by the binomial coefficient defined as $\binom{k}{m} = \frac{k!}{m!(k-m)!}$. In the expansion of $\left|\Psi^{A_1A_2...A_N}\right\rangle^{\otimes k}$ in the basis B^k, coefficients of elements that belong to subset B_q^{k-m} transform under a unitary on qubit q as the coefficients of $\left|0^{\otimes k-m} \otimes 1^{\otimes m}\right\rangle$.

We now examine how the coefficients in product of k copies of the state transform under a unitary transformation on N^{th} qubit. To simplify the notation, we represent a state coefficient in ν^{th} copy of $\left|\Psi^{A_1A_2...A_N}\right\rangle$ by $a_{(t_{N-1},\nu)i_{N,\nu}}$ where $t_{N-1} \equiv i_1i_2...i_{N-1}$. Consider a degree k monomial of state coefficients

$$f_{k,0} = \prod_{\nu=1}^{k} a_{(t_{N-1},\nu)0}.$$

If the state transforms under a local unitary, $U^{A_N} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|x|^2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -x^* \\ x & 1 \end{bmatrix}$,as

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\Psi^{A_1A_2...A_N}\right\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|x|^2}} \sum_{t_{N-1}} \left(a_{t_{N-1}0} - x^* a_{t_{N-1}1}\right) |t_{N-1}0\rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|x|^2}} \sum_{t_{N-1}} \left(a_{t_{N-1}1} + x a_{t_{N-1}0}\right) |t_{N-1}1\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

then $f_{k,0}$ transforms as coefficient of a vector in subset B_N^k that is the transformed function is

$$\begin{aligned} f'_{k,0} &= \frac{1}{\left(1+|x|^2\right)^{k/2}} \prod_{\nu=1}^{k} \left(a_{(t_{N-1},\nu)0} - x^* a_{(t_{N-1},\nu)1}\right). \\ &= \frac{1}{\left(1+|x|^2\right)^{k/2}} \sum_{m=0}^{k} (-x^*)^m \binom{k}{m} f_{k-m,m}. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The functions $f_{k-m,m}$ ($m = 0$ to k) can be obtained from $f_{k,0}$ by the action of an index raising operator $T^+_{A_N}$ defined as

$$T^+_{A_N} a_{t_{N-1}0} = a_{t_{N-1}1}, \quad T^+_{A_N} a_{t_{N-1}1} = 0. \tag{3}$$

On a product of state coefficients the opertor acts as follows:

$$T^+_{A_N}\left(a_{t_{N-1}i_N} a_{t'_{N-1}i'_N}\right) = \left(T^+_{A_N} a_{t_{N-1}i_N}\right) a_{t'_{N-1}i'_N} + a_{t_{N-1}i_N}\left(T^+_{A_N} a_{t'_{N-1}i'_N}\right). \tag{4}$$

With index raising operator defined as above, it is easily verified that

$$f_{k-m,m} = \frac{(k-m)!}{k!} \left(T^+_{A_N}\right)^m f_{k,0}.$$

The function $f_{k-m,m}$ is a function of coefficients of elements in B_N^{k-m}, as such transforms as coefficient of $\left|0_N^{\otimes k-m} \otimes 1_N^{\otimes m}\right\rangle$.

Next, let $I_{N-1,k}$ be a homogeneous degree k polynomial invariant of $(N-1)$ $(N>2)$ qubit system. Corresponding $(N-1)$ qubit invariant of N qubit state that transforms as coefficient of $\left|0^{\otimes k}\right\rangle$ ($\left|1^{\otimes k}\right\rangle$) is denoted by $(I_{N-1})_{(A_N)_{k,0}}$ ($(I_{N-1})_{(A_N)_{0.k}}$) and is readily written down by substituting the state coefficients $a_{t_{N-1}}$ by $a_{t_{N-1}0}$ ($a_{t_{N-1}1}$). Subscript $(A_N)_{k-m,m}$ means that every term in the expansion of $(I_{N-1})_{(A_N)_{k-m,m}}$ is a product of k state coefficients such that exactly $(k-m)$ coefficients have $i_N = 0$, while m state coefficients have $i_N = 1$. The invariant $(I_{N-1})_{(A_N)_{k-m,m}}$ is a function of coefficients of elements in B_N^{k-m}. By linearity, $(I_{N-1})_{(A_N)_{k-m,m}}$ will transform under a unitary on N^{th} qubit, in a manner analogous to that of functions $f_{k-m,m}$. In analogy with Eq. (2), $(I_{N-1})_{(A_N)_{k,0}}$ transforms under a local unitary U^{A_N} on qubit A_N, as

$$\left(I'_{N-1}\right)_{(A_N)_{k,0}} = \frac{1}{\left(1+|x|^2\right)^{k/2}} \sum_{m=0}^{k} (-x^*)^m \binom{k}{m} (I_{N-1})_{(A_N)_{k-m,m}}. \tag{5}$$

The invariants $(I_{N-1})_{(A_N)_{k-m,m}}$ for $1 < m < (k-1)$ are additional $(N-1)$ qubit invariants of $|\Psi_N\rangle$ with no counterparts in $N-1$ qubit state. These can be constructed from $(I_{N-1})_{(A_N)_{k,0}}$ through the action of raising operator $T^+_{A_N}$ on state coefficients that appear in the analytical form of $(I_{N-1,k})_{(A_N)_{k,0}}$. Using the definition of $T^+_{A_N}$ (Eqs. (3 and 4)) one can verify that

$$\left(T^+_{A_N}\right)^m (I_{N-1})_{(A_N)_{k,0}} = \frac{k!}{(k-m)!} (I_{N-1})_{(A_N)_{k-m,m}}, \tag{6}$$

where the structure of $I_{N-1,k}$ determines the analytical expression of $(I_{N-1})_{(A_N)_{k-m,m}}$ in terms of state coefficients. If V^{k+1} is the vector space of $N-1$ qubit invariants of degree k then elements of the set of invariants $\left\{(I_{N-1})_{(A_N)_{k-m,m}} : 0 \le m \le k\right\}$ form a coordinate ring. An element $(I_{N-1})_{(A_N)_{k-m,m}}$ of the ring transforms, under a local unitary on N^{th} qubit, as the coefficient of $\left|0^{\otimes k-m} 1^{\otimes m}\right\rangle$. As such a local unitary on N^{th} qubit may be used to transform a given N qubit state to a form such that one of the elements in the set $\left\{\left(I'_{N-1}\right)_{(A_N)_{k-\mu,\mu}} : 0 \le \mu \le k\right\}$ is zero. For example, the unitary that makes $(I_{N-1,k})'_{(A_N)_{k,0}} = 0$, is determined by the condition

$$P(x^*) = \sum_{\mu=0}^{k} \binom{k}{\mu} (-x^*)^\mu (I_{N-1,k})_{(A_N)_{k-\mu,\mu}} = 0, \tag{7}$$

which is a degree k polynomial in variable x^* with $N-1$ qubit invariants as coefficients. Invariants of the polynomial of Eq. (7) are the N qubit invariants we are looking for.

III. POLYNOMIAL UNITARY INVARIANTS OF N QUBITS AND BINARY FORM

Comparing polynomial $P(x^*)$ of Eq. (7) with binary form

$$f(x_1, y_1) = \sum_{\mu=0}^{k} \binom{k}{\mu} (x_1)^{\mu} (y_1)^{k-\mu} (I_{N-1})_{(A_N)_{k-\mu,\mu}}, \tag{8}$$

we notice that $P(x^*) = f(-x^*, 1)$. Invariants of the binary form are the same as $N-$ qubit invariants of the polynomial. As explained in Appendix (B) The standard method of transvection may be used to obtain all covariants and invariants of the binary form, which are also covariants and invariants of the corresponding polynomial. The number of polynomial invariants of a binary form depends on the order of the form. Since in Eq. (5) coefficients $(I_{N-1})_{(A_N)_{k-\mu,\mu}}$ are degree k functions of state coefficients, the degree of $N-$qubit invariants obtained from Eq. (5) depends on k as well as order of the form. To illustrate the power of the process, we construct in section VI the sequence of invariants based on negativity of partial transpose of a two qubit pure state. Global negativity [1, 2] of a bipartite state is a well known entanglement monotone and in the case of two qubits quantifies two-way non-local correlations. We are mainly interested in polynomial invariants relevant to quantifying $N-$way and $N-1$-way correlations in an $N-$qubit state. In this context, we focus on two specific invariants of Eq. (5), which are shown in Appendix (B) to have the form

$$I_{N,2k} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{k} (-1)^m \binom{k}{m} \times (I_{N-1})_{(A_N)_{k-m,m}} (I_{N-1})_{(A_N)_{m,k-m}}\right) \tag{9}$$

and

$$(\mathcal{N}_{N,2k})^{A_1 A_2 \ldots A_{N-1}(A_N)} = \sum_{m=0}^{k} \binom{k}{m} \left|(I_{N-1})_{(A_N)_{k-m,m}}\right|^2. \tag{10}$$

Since $N-1$ qubits including qubit A_1 may be selected in $N-1$ distinct ways, and the value of invariant of Eq. (10) depends on this choice, we define $(\mathcal{N}_{N,2k})^{A_1 A_2 \ldots A_{q-1} A_{q+1} \ldots A_N (A_q)}$ to be the invariant of second type when $N-1$ qubits including A_1 do not include qubit A_q, $(2 \le q \le N)$. We construct an overall invariant, $\mathcal{N}^{A_1}_{N,2k}$, which is a normalized sum of invariants of the second type corresponding to each selection and reads as

$$\mathcal{N}^{A_1}_{N,2k} = C_N \left[(\mathcal{N}_{N,2k})^{A_1 A_2 \ldots A_{N-1}(A_N)} + \sum_{q=2}^{N-1} (\mathcal{N}_{N,2k})^{A_1 A_2 \ldots A_{q-1} A_{q+1} \ldots A_N (A_q)}\right]. \tag{11}$$

Here C_N is the normalization constant chosen so that $0 \le \mathcal{N}^{A_1}_{N,2k} \le 1$.

The invariant $I_{N,2k}$ is a combination of terms which are products of $N-1$ qubit invariants, therefore, if $I_{N-1,k}$ is an invariant that detects genuine $(N-1)-$way correlations then $I_{N,2k}$ detects genuine $N-$way correlations. It is obviously zero on all N qubit states, with no $N-$way or $(N-1)$ way correlations. We can verify that the invariant $I_{N,2k}$ is zero on any pure state which is a product state of an $(N-1)$ qubit state with nonzero $N-1$ qubit correlations and a single qubit state. For example, on a state for which N^{th} qubit is separable that is

$$\left|\Phi^{A_1 A_2 \ldots A_N}_{1-s}\right\rangle = \left(\sum_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_{N-1}} a_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_{N-1}} |i_1 i_2 \ldots i_{N-1}\rangle\right)(\alpha_0 |0\rangle + \alpha_1 |1\rangle), \tag{12}$$

the product

$$(I_{N-1,k})_{(A_N)_{k-m,m}} (I_{N-1,k})_{(A_N)_{m,k-m}} = (I_{N-1,k})^2 (\alpha_0)^k (\alpha_1)^k. \tag{13}$$

By using the properties of Binomial coefficients we obtain

$$I_{N,2k}\left(\left|\Phi^{A_1 A_2 \ldots A_N}_{1-s}\right\rangle\right) = \frac{1}{2}(I_{N-1,k})^2 (\alpha_0)^k (\alpha_1)^k \sum_{m=0}^{k} (-1)^m \binom{k}{m} = 0. \tag{14}$$

It is easily verified that the value of invariant $I_{N,2k}$ does not depend on the choice of $N-1$ qubits, that the invariant $I_{N-1,k}$ refers to.

The local unitary group G on $N-$qubit states is the group of 1-qubit operations. G can be taken to be G = U(1) $\times$ SU(2)^N in the case of pure states. Explicitly, for pure states, an element $g = (e^{i\theta}, g_1, ..., g_N) \in$ G acts on $|\Psi\rangle$ by

$$g\,|\Psi\rangle = e^{i\theta}\,(g_1 \otimes ... \otimes g_N)\,|\Psi\rangle\,.$$

By construction $I_{N,2k}$ of Eq. (9) is invariant with respect to SU(2)^N, but not with respect to U(1). However $|I_{N,2k}|$ is invariant with respect to U(1) $\times$ SU(2)^N.

As shown in section VI, with negativity of partially transposed state operator of a two qubit pure state as a starting point, the process outlined above yields polynomial invariants to detect 3−way, 4−way, ..., $N-$way non-local correlations. In order to use Eqs. ((5),(9), and (10)) for a given $N-$qubit state, we need the complete set of $(N-1)$ qubit invariants $(I_{N-1})_{(A_N)_{k-m,m}}$ $(0 \le m \le k)$. Section IV provides the elements to construct $(N-1)$ qubit invariants in terms of determinants of negativity fonts, which are single qubit invariants of state coefficients.

IV. DETERMINANT OF A $K-$WAY NEGATIVITY FONT

State coefficients contain information about the properties of a quantum system in pure state. We use partial transposition in four by four sub-space of Hilbert space of the system to construct two by two matrices of state functions. Each matrix is referred to as a negativity font. By construction, the determinant of the matrix is a degree two function which is invariant with respect to a unitary transformation on a single qubit. Negativity fonts can be seen as the elementary units of entanglement in a quantum superposition. Determinants of negativity fonts are linked to $K-$way partially transposed matrices obtained from state operator through selective partial transposition [29, 30]. In refs. [18, 19], the concept of negativity fonts was used to construct invariants that quantify three and four-way correlation for three and four qubit pure states, respectively. In a multipartite state having N subsystems, entanglement of a given subsystem to it's complement, may arise due to 2−way, 3−way, ...,$N-$way correlations. Since the most general multiqubit state may have $N-1$ correlation types, it can have as many types of negativity fonts. Local unitary transformations on qubits result in a state with a new set of negativity fonts. Polynomial functions of state coefficients that are finite on states having a specific entanglement type are functions of determinants of negativity fonts that remain invariant under local unitary transformations. This section contains a formal definition of determinant of a negativity font.

Consider the state operator of N qubit pure state (Eq. (1)), $\rho = \left|\Psi^{A_1A_2...A_N}\right\rangle\left\langle\Psi^{A_1A_2...A_N}\right|$. Let $\rho^{T_{A_1}}$ represent the partially transposed state operator obtained from ρ by transposition with respect to qubit A_1. Using the symbol $\oplus$ to represent addition modulo 2, a negativity font that belongs to $\rho^{T_{A_1}}$ is given by a two by two matrix

$$\nu_{S_{2,T}}^{i_1 i_2 ... i_N} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{i_1 i_2 ... i_N} & a_{j_1\oplus 1, j_2 ... j_N} \\ a_{i_1\oplus 1, i_2 ... i_N} & a_{j_1 j_2 ... j_N} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{15}$$

We next explain the meaning of subscript $S_{2,T}$ in $\nu_{S_{2,T}}^{i_1 i_2 ... i_N}$. The set of N qubits with their locations and local basis indices given by, $T = \left\{(A_1)_{i_1}, (A_2)_{i_2} ... (A_N)_{i_N}\right\}$, is split up into two subsets. Subset $S_{1,T}$ contains K qubits with $i_m \neq j_m$ in Eq. (15)), and $S_{2,T}$ contains the remaining $N-K$ qubits with $i_m = j_m$. More specifically, $\nu_{S_{2,T}}^{i_1 i_2 ... i_N}$ is referred to as a $K-$way negativity font [28] , where $K = \sum_{m=1}^{N} (1 - \delta_{i_m j_m})$ ($\delta_{i_m j_m} = 0$ for $i_m \neq j_m$ and $\delta_{i_m j_m} = 1$ for $i_m = j_m$). The determinant of a negativity font is defined as $D_{S_{2,T}}^{s_{1,T}} = \det\left(\nu_{S_{2,T}}^{i_1 i_2 ... i_N}\right)$, where $s_{1,T}$ represents the sequence of local basis indices for qubits in set $S_{1,T}$. We notice that any $D_{S_{2,T}}^{s_{1,T}}$ is invariant with respect to a unitary on qubit A_1 while the determinant given by $D_{S_{2,T}}^{00}$ is a two qubit invariant with respect to unitaries on qubits that appear in the superscript. If a local unitary is applied to qubit $(A_p)_{i_p}$ $(p \neq 1)$ in set $S_{2,T}$, then the determinant $D_{S_{2,T}}^{s_{1,T}}$ transforms as the coefficient of $\left|(i_p)^{\otimes 2}\right\rangle$. However, if qubit $(A_p)_{i_p}$ $(p \neq 1)$ belongs in set $S_{1,T}$ then $D_{S_{2,T}}^{s_{1,T}}$ transforms as coefficient of $|0 \otimes 1\rangle$. Negativity fonts and their determinants that quantify the negativity of $\rho^{T_{A_p}}$ for $p \neq 1$ are defined in an analogous fashion. Determinant of a given negativity font measures the potential entanglement present in a specific four by four subspace of Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Determinant of a $K-$way negativity font detects potential $K-$way quantum correlations in an N qubit state. For even K, proper combinations of determinants of $K-$way negativity fonts are found to be degree two invariants under the action of local unitary operations on K qubits [19]. Higher degree polynomial invariants of pure state can be expressed in terms of determinants of negativity fonts.

A. Action of a raising operator on determinant of Negativity Font

How does the index raising operator relate the determinants of N and $(N-1)$ qubit negativity fonts? Let $D^{s_{1,T}}_{S_{2,T}} = \det\left(\nu^{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_{N-1}}_{S_{2,T}}\right)$ be the determinant of an arbitrary negativity font in an $(N-1)$ qubit state, for partial transposition with respect to qubit A_1. Two N qubit determinants obtained by adding N^{th} qubit that is subscript $(A_N)_{i_N}$ to set $S_{2,T}$ are

$$D^{s_{1,T}}_{(S_{2,T})(A_N)_{i_N}} = \det\left(\nu^{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_N}_{(S_{2,T})(A_N)_{i_N}}\right); \qquad i_N = 0, 1. \tag{16}$$

Determinants $D^{s_{1,T}}_{(S_{2,T})(A_N)_{i_N}}$ transform under local unitaries as coefficient of $\left|i_N^{\otimes 2}\right\rangle$. Two distinct determinants obtained by adding i_N to set $s_{1,T}$ transform as coefficients of $|0 \otimes 1\rangle$. The combination

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(D^{(s_{1,T})0}_{S_{2,T}} - D^{(s_{1,T})1}_{S_{2,T}}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\det\left(\nu^{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_N=0}_{S_{2,T}}\right) - \det\left(\nu^{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_N=1}_{S_{2,T}}\right)\right) \tag{17}$$

is invariant with respect to local unitaries on qubits A_1 and A_N, whereas the sum $\frac{1}{2}\left(D^{(s_{1,T})0}_{S_{2,T}} + D^{(s_{1,T})1}_{S_{2,T}}\right)$ is not so. It is easily verified that

$$T^{+}_{A_N} D^{s_{1,T}}_{(S_{2,T})(A_N)_0} = \left(D^{(s_{1,T})0}_{S_{2,T}} + D^{(s_{1,T})1}_{S_{2,T}}\right), \tag{18}$$

$$T^{+}_{A_N}\left(D^{(s_{1,T})0}_{S_{2,T}} + D^{(s_{1,T})1}_{S_{2,T}}\right) = 2D^{s_{1,T}}_{(S_{2,T})(A_N)_1}, \tag{19}$$

$$T^{+}_{A_N} D^{s_{1,T}}_{(S_{2,T})(A_N)_1} = 0. \tag{20}$$

On a product of determinants of negativity fonts, $D_1 D_2$, we have

$$T\left(D_1 D_2\right) = \left(TD_1\right) D_2 + D_1 \left(TD_2\right). \tag{21}$$

V. POLYNOMIAL INVARIANTS OF AN N QUBIT STATE BASED ON NEGATIVITY OF A TWO QUBIT PURE STATE

In this section, the process outlined in sections II and III is applied to generate the sequence of polynimial invariants from which one can construct entanglement monotones to quantify three-way, four-way and five-way correlations in three, four and five qubit states. A two qubit pure state shared by Alice (qubit A_1) and Bob (qubit A_2),

$$\left|\Psi^{A_1 A_2}\right\rangle = \sum_{i_1 i_2} a_{i_1 i_2} \left|i_1 i_2\right\rangle, i_m = 0, 1, \tag{22}$$

has a single two-way negativity font with determinant $D^{00} = (a_{00}a_{11} - a_{10}a_{01})$. It is a degree two invariant with respect to SU(2)⊗SU(2), in other words $D^{00} = I_{2,2}$. Global negativity of partial transpose of state operator, defined as $N_G^{A_1} = 2\left|I_{2,2}\right|$, is a well known entanglement monotone and quantifies the entanglement of qubit pair $A_1 A_2$.

A. Three-way and two-way correlations

Determinants of negativity fonts, for partial transposition with respect to qubit A_1, of a general three qubit state

$$\left|\Psi^{A_1 A_2 A_3}\right\rangle = \sum_{i_1 i_2} \left(a_{i_1 i_2 0}\left|i_1 i_2 0\right\rangle + a_{i_1 i_2 1}\left|i_1 i_2 1\right\rangle\right), \tag{23}$$

are defined as $D^{00}_{(A_3)_{i_3}} = a_{00 i_3} a_{11 i_3} - a_{10 i_3} a_{01 i_3}$ (two-way fonts), and $D^{00 i_3} = a_{00 i_3} a_{11, i_3 \oplus 1} - a_{10 i_3} a_{01, i_3 \oplus 1}$ (three-way fonts), where $i_3 = 0$ or 1. Two qubit invariants of three qubit state, corresponding to $I_{2,2}$ are

$$\left(I_2\right)_{(A_3)_{2,0}} = D^{00}_{(A_3)_0}, \text{ and } \left(I_2\right)_{(A_3)_{0,2}} = D^{00}_{(A_3)_1}, \tag{24}$$

such that $T^{+}_{A_3} D^{00}_{(A_3)_0} = \left(D^{000} + D^{001}\right)$. Therefore, the third two qubit invariant reads as

$$(I_2)_{(A_3)_{1,1}} = \frac{1}{2} T^{+}_{A_3} (I_2)_{(A_3)_{2,0}} = \frac{\left(D^{000} + D^{001}\right)}{2}. \tag{25}$$

On applying a unitary U^{A_3} to $\left|\Psi^{A_1A_2A_3}\right\rangle$, two qubit invariant $(I_2)_{(A_3)_{2,0}}$ transforms as

$$(I_2)'_{(A_3)_{2,0}} = \frac{1}{1+|x|^2}\left[(I_2)_{(A_3)_{2,0}} - 2x^* (I_2)_{(A_3)_{1,1}} + (x^*)^2 (I_2)_{(A_3)_{0,2}}\right]. \tag{26}$$

Using Eq. (9), the three qubit polynomial invariant is identified as

$$\begin{aligned} I_{3,4} &= (I_2)_{(A_3)_{20}} (I_2)_{(A_3)_{0,2}} - \left((I_2)_{(A_3)_{1,1}}\right)^2 \\ &= D^{00}_{(A_3)_0} D^{00}_{(A_3)_1} - \left(\frac{D^{000} + D^{001}}{2}\right)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

This is the invariant which defines three tangle [27] through $\tau_{3,4} = 16\,|I_{3,4}|$, an entanglement monotone which quantifies $3-$way correlations in a three qubit state. The invariant corresponding to Eq. (10) is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}^{A_1A_2(A_3)}_{3,4} &= \binom{2}{1}\left|(I_2)_{(A_3)_{1,1}}\right|^2 + \left|(I_2)_{(A_3)_{2,0}}\right|^2 + \left|(I_2)_{(A_3)_{0,2}}\right|^2 \\ &= 2\left|\frac{D^{000} + D^{001}}{2}\right|^2 + \left|D^{00}_{(A_3)_0}\right|^2 + \left|D^{00}_{(A_3)_1}\right|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

The difference

$$4\left(\mathcal{N}^{A_1A_2(A_3)}_{3,4} - 2\,|I_{3,4}|\right) = \left(\tau^{A_1A_2}_{2,2}\right)^2, \tag{29}$$

defines $\tau^{A_1A_2}_{2,2}$, which determines the amount of two-way correlations in state $\rho^{A_1A_2} = \mathrm{tr}_{A_3}\left(\left|\Psi^{A_1A_2A_3}\right\rangle\left\langle\Psi^{A_1A_2A_3}\right|\right)$.

Using the same logic one finds that

$$\mathcal{N}^{A_1A_3(A_2)}_{3,4} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\left|D^{000} - D^{001}\right|^2 + \left|D^{00}_{(A_2)_0}\right|^2 + \left|D^{00}_{(A_2)_1}\right|^2\right), \tag{30}$$

and

$$4\left(\mathcal{N}^{A_1A_3(A_2)}_{3,4} - 2\,|I_{3,4}|\right) = \left(\tau^{A_1A_3}_{2,2}\right)^2, \tag{31}$$

where $\tau^{A_1A_3}_2$ quantifies the amount of two-way correlations in state $\rho^{A_1A_3} = \mathrm{tr}_{A_2}\left(\left|\Psi^{A_1A_2A_3}\right\rangle\left\langle\Psi^{A_1A_2A_3}\right|\right)$. The entanglement of qubit A_1 with qubits A_2 and A_3 due to two-way and three-way correlations is quantified by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}^{A_1}_{3,4} &= 4\left(\mathcal{N}^{A_1A_2(A_3)}_{3,4} + \mathcal{N}^{A_1A_3(A_2)}_{3,4}\right) \\ &= 4\left[\left|D^{000}\right|^2 + \left|D^{001}\right|^2 + \left|D^{00}_{(A_3)_0}\right|^2 + \left|D^{00}_{(A_3)_1}\right|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left|D^{00}_{(A_2)_0}\right|^2 + \left|D^{00}_{(A_2)_1}\right|^2\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

The invariant $\mathcal{N}^{A_1}_{3,4}$ is equal to the square of global negativity of three qubit pure state with respect to qubit A_1. The difference

$$\mathcal{N}^{A_1}_{3,4} - \tau_{3,4} = \left(\tau^{A_1A_2}_{2,2}\right)^2 + \left(\tau^{A_1A_3}_{2,2}\right)^2 \tag{33}$$

determines the sum of pairwise entanglement of qubit pairs A_1A_2 and A_1A_3. Equalities of Eqs. (29, 31 and 33) are relations between two-way and three-way non-local quantum correlations in $\left|\Psi^{A_1A_2A_3}\right\rangle$ with relevant invariants

known in terms of determinants of negativity fonts. Eq. (33) is the analog of CKW inequality [27] for three qubits which states that

$$\mathcal{N}_{3,4}^{A_1} \geq \left(C^{A_1A_2}\right)^2 + \left(C^{A_1A_3}\right)^2, \tag{34}$$

where squared concurrence, $\left(C^{A_iA_j}\right)^2$, ([26]) is a calculable measure of bipartite entanglement of qubits A_i and A_j in the reduced two qubit state. The concurrence of a two-qubit state ρ is defined as

$$C(\rho) = \max\left(0, \sqrt{\lambda_1} - \sqrt{\lambda_2} - \sqrt{\lambda_3} - \sqrt{\lambda_4}\right),$$

where $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_3 \geq \lambda_4$ are the eigenvalues of non Hermitian matrix $\widetilde{\rho}\rho$ with $\widetilde{\rho} = (\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y)\,\rho^*\,(\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y)$. Here $*$ denotes complex conjugation in the standard basis and σ_y is the Pauli matrix. We have verified that for reduced states obtained from a three qubit general state,

$$C^{A_iA_j}\left(\rho^{A_iA_j}\right) = \tau_{2,2}^{A_iA_j}.$$

B. Four-way and three-way correlations

In a general four qubit pure state, written as

$$\left|\Psi^{A_1A_2A_3A_4}\right\rangle = \sum_{i_1i_2i_3} \left(a_{i_1i_2i_30}\left|i_1i_2i_30\right\rangle + a_{i_1i_2i_31}\left|i_1i_2i_31\right\rangle\right), \tag{35}$$

we identify $D^{00}_{(A_3)_{i_3}(A_4)_{i_4}} = a_{00i_3i_4}a_{11i_3i_4} - a_{10i_3i_4}a_{01i_3i_4}$ (two-way), $D^{00i_3}_{(A_4)_{i_4}} = a_{00i_3i_4}a_{11,i_3\oplus1,i_4} - a_{10i_3i_4}a_{01,i_3\oplus1,i_4}$ (three-way), $D^{00i_4}_{(A_3)_{i_3}} = a_{00i_3i_4}a_{11i_3,i_4\oplus1} - a_{10i_3i_4}a_{01i_3,i_4\oplus1}$ (three-way), and $D^{00i_3i_4} = a_{00i_3i_4}a_{11,i_3\oplus1,i_4\oplus1} - a_{10i_3i_4}a_{01,i_3\oplus1,i_4\oplus1}$- (four-way) as the determinants of negativity fonts. Using the form of $I_{3,4}$ (Eq. (27)), one of the three qubit invariants of four qubit state can be written as

$$(I_3)_{(A_4)_{4,0}} = 4D^{00}_{(A_3)_0(A_4)_0}D^{00}_{(A_3)_1(A_4)_0} - \left(D^{000}_{(A_4)_0} + D^{001}_{(A_4)_0}\right)^2. \tag{36}$$

We have multiplied the invariant by four to facilitate connection with our earlier work on four qubits [28]. Transformation of $(I_3)_{(A_4)_{4,0}}$ under U^{A_4} yields (using Eq. (5))

$$(I_3)'_{(A_4)_{4,0}} = \frac{1}{\left(1+|x|^2\right)^2} \times \sum_{\mu=0}^{4} \binom{4}{\mu} (-x^*)^\mu \, (I_3)_{(A_4)_{4-\mu,\mu}}. \tag{37}$$

Exact expressions (Eqs. (A2, A3, A4, A5)) for additional degree four three qubit invariants of four qubit state coefficients, obtained by successive applications of index raising operator (defined as in Eqs. 3, and 4) to $(I_3)_{(A_4)_{4,0}}$ are given in Appendix A. Degree eight invariant that detects genuine four-way entanglement of a four qubit state, constructed by using Eq. (9)), is

$$I_{4,8} = 3\left((I_3)_{(A_4)_{2,2}}\right)^2 + (I_3)_{(A_4)_{4,0}}(I_3)_{(A_4)_{0,4}} - 4\,(I_3)_{(A_4)_{1,3}}(I_3)_{(A_4)_{3,1}}. \tag{38}$$

It is a function of terms that involve either the products of invariants that detect 3−way correlations or involve determinants of four-way negativity fonts. The invariant $I_{4,8}$ is non-zero on states having 4−way correlations. Four tangle based on $I_{4,8}$ has been defined as

$$\tau_{4,4} = 4\left|(12I_{4,8})^{\frac{1}{2}}\right|, \tag{39}$$

and calculated in ref. [28] for maximally entangled states and representatives of four qubit states of ref. [31].

By using Eq. (10), we obtain the invariant

$$\left(\mathcal{N}_{4,8}\right)^{A_1A_2A_3(A_4)} = \sum_{m=0}^{4} \binom{4}{m} \left|(I_3)_{(A_4)_{4-m,m}}\right|^2. \tag{40}$$

The difference $(\mathcal{N}_{4,8})^{A_1A_2A_3(A_4)} - |2\,(I_{4,8})|$, determines the three-way correlations in the reduced state, $\rho^{A_1A_2A_3} = \mathrm{tr}_{A_4}\left(\left|\Psi^{A_1A_2A_3A_4}\right\rangle\left\langle\Psi^{A_1A_2A_3A_4}\right|\right)$. Likewise, one can construct the invariants $(\mathcal{N}_{4,8})^{A_1A_2A_4(A_3)}$ and $(\mathcal{N}_{4,8})^{A_1A_3A_4(A_2)}$. We define a measure of three-way correlations in the reduced three qubit state $\rho^{A_1A_sA_t} = \mathrm{tr}_{(A_l)}\left(\rho^{A_1A_sA_tA_l}\right)$, to be

$$\left(\tau_{3,4}^{A_1A_sA_t}\right)^2 = 32\left((\mathcal{N}_{4,8})^{A_1A_sA_t(A_l)} - |2\,(I_{4,8})|\right), \tag{41}$$

where $\rho^{A_1A_sA_tA_l}$ is a four qubit pure state. Four qubit invariant that quantifies the sum of 3−way and 4−way correlations reads as

$$\mathcal{N}_{4,8}^{A_1} = 32\left((\mathcal{N}_{4,8})^{A_1A_2A_3(A_4)} + (\mathcal{N}_{4,8})^{A_1A_2A_4(A_3)} + (\mathcal{N}_{4,8})^{A_1A_3A_4(A_2)}\right). \tag{42}$$

Combining these results and using proper normalization, the difference $\mathcal{N}_{4,8}^{A_1} - (\tau_{4,4})^2$ determines the amount of total three-way correlations in the four qubit state and satisfies the equality

$$\mathcal{N}_{4,8}^{A_1} - (\tau_{4,4})^2 = \left(\tau_{3,4}^{A_1A_2A_3)}\right)^2 + \left(\tau_{3,4}^{A_1A_2A_4}\right)^2 + \left(\tau_{3,4}^{A_1A_3A_4}\right)^2. \tag{43}$$

C. Five-way and Four-way correlations

The form of four qubit invariant $(I_4)_{(A_5)_{8,0}}$, which is a function of state coefficients of five qubit state

$$\left|\Psi^{A_1A_2A_3A_4A_5}\right\rangle = \sum_{i_1i_2i_3i_4}\left(a_{i_1i_2i_3i_40}\left|i_1i_2i_3i_40\right\rangle + a_{i_1i_2i_3i_41}\left|i_1i_2i_3i_41\right\rangle\right), \tag{44}$$

is known in terms of three qubit invariants from Eq. (38) and reads as

$$\begin{aligned}(I_4)_{(A_5)_{8,0}} \;=\; & 3\left((I_3)_{(A_4)_{2,2}}\right)^2_{(A_5)_{8,0}} + \left((I_3)_{(A_4)_{4,0}}(I_3)_{(A_4)_{0,4}}\right)_{(A_5)_{8,0}} \\ & -4\left((I_3)_{(A_4)_{1,3}}(I_3)_{(A_4)_{3,1}}\right)_{(A_5)_{8,0}}.\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

It transforms under U^{A_5} as

$$(I_4)'_{(A_5)_{8,0}} = \frac{1}{\left(1+|x|^2\right)^4}\sum_{\mu=0}^{8}\binom{8}{\mu}(-x^*)^\mu\,(I_4)_{(A_5)_{8-\mu,\mu}}, \tag{46}$$

and yields the invariants (using Eqs. (9))

$$\begin{aligned}I_{5,16} \;=\; & (I_4)_{(A_5)_{8,0}}(I_4)_{(A_5)_{0,8}} - 8\,(I_4)_{(A_5)_{7,1}}(I_4)_{(A_5)_{1,7}} + 28\,(I_4)_{(A_5)_{6,2}}(I_4)_{(A_5)_{2,6}} \\ & -56\,(I_4)_{(A_5)_{5,3}}(I_4)_{(A_5)_{3,5}} + 35\left((I_4)_{(A_5)_{4,4}}\right)^2,\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

and (using Eqs. (10))

$$(\mathcal{N}_{5,16})^{A_1A_2A_3A_4(A_5)} = \sum_{m=0}^{8}\binom{8}{m}\left|(I_4)_{(A_5)_{8-m,m}}\right|^2. \tag{48}$$

In general, for a particular selection of four qubits, we can obtain

$$(\mathcal{N}_{5,16})^{A_1A_sA_tA_p(A_q)} = \sum_{m=0}^{8}\binom{8}{m}\left|(I_4)_{(A_q)_{8-m,m}}\right|^2, \tag{49}$$

where four qubit invariants $(I_4)_{(A_q)_{8-m,m}}$ $(0 \le m \le 8)$ are constructed for the selection $(A_1A_sA_tA_p)$ at hand. As before, being a function of all possible four qubit invariants of a five qubit state, the invariant $(\mathcal{N}_{5,16})^{A_1}$ defined as the sum

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{N}_{5,16})^{A_1} \;=\; & C_5\Big[(\mathcal{N}_{5,16})^{A_1A_2A_3A_4(A_5)} + (\mathcal{N}_{5,16})^{A_1A_2A_3A_5(A_4)} \\ & + (\mathcal{N}_{5,16})^{A_1A_2A_4A_5(A_3)} + (\mathcal{N}_{5,16})^{A_1A_3A_4A_5(A_2)}\Big],\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

quantifies four-way and five-way correlations. Constant C_5 is chosen such that $(\mathcal{N}_{5,16})^{A_1} = 1$, on a five qubit GHZ state. Invariant of Eq. (47) $I_{5,16}$ is non-zero on states having $5-$way entanglement. The difference,

$$\left(\tau_{4,4}^{A_1A_sA_tA_p}\right)^4 = C_5\left((\mathcal{N}_{5,16})^{A_1A_sA_tA_p(A_q)} - |2I_{5,16}|\right), \tag{51}$$

determines the four-way correlations in the reduced state $\rho^{A_1A_sA_tA_p} = \mathrm{tr}_{A_q}\left(\rho^{A_1A_sA_tA_pA_q}\right)$. The four qubit invariant $\tau_{4,4}^{A_1A_sA_tA_p}$ is a known function of four qubit invariants $(I_{4,8})_{(A_5)_{8-m,m}}$ $(m = 0$ to $8)$ of five qubit state. We define a five tangle based on $I_{5,16}$ as

$$\tau_{5,4} = |C_5 8 I_{5,16}|^{\frac{1}{4}}. \tag{52}$$

The invariants constructed as above, satisfy the equality

$$(\mathcal{N}_{5,16})^{A_1} - (\tau_{5,4})^4 = \begin{pmatrix} \left(\tau_{4,4}^{A_1A_2A_3A_4}\right)^4 + \left(\tau_{4,4}^{A_1A_2A_3A_5}\right)^4 \\ + \left(\tau_{4,4}^{A_1A_2A_4A_5}\right)^4 + \left(\tau_{4,4}^{A_1A_3A_4A_5}\right)^4 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{53}$$

The process can be continued on to obtain invariants for desired value of N. While for few qubit systems the process yields exact analytical expressions for polynomial invariants in terms of functions of state coefficients, for larger systems it can be implemented, numerically.

We notice that when negativity of partial transpose of a two qubit pure state is the starting point, then invariant $I_{N,k}$ of degree $k = 2^{N-1}$ $(N \geq 2)$ quantifies N-way GHZ- like non-local correlations. The invariant $(\mathcal{N}_{N,k})^{A_1}$ is a measure of $N-$way and $(N-1)-$way correlations of qubit A_1 with the rest of the system. For a given choice of $(N-1)$ qubits, an invariant that is the sum of quantum correlations in the reduced $(N-1)$ qubit state and $N-$way correlations in the corresponding pure state is also found. These results reduce the question of monogamy of quantum correlations in a multiqubit system to establishing the relation between an independent measure of $(N-1)$ qubit correlations in reduced $(N-1)$ qubit state and the corresponding pure state invariant.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Local unitary invariance is the basic principle used to formulate an inductive process that generates a chain of polynomial invariants of state coefficients starting from a known subsystem invariant of a multipartite system. Our approach of selective sequential construction allows for construction of physically meaningful invariant functions for a large system in terms of known properties of subsystems. The process is applied to obtain the chain of invariants based on global negativity which is an entanglement monotone for a two qubit pure state. The resulting degree k invariant $I_{N,k}$ $(k = 2^{N-1})$ detects entanglement due to $N-$way non-local correlations in an N qubit state and is used to define $N-$tangle, a quantifier of $N-$way correlations. Other interesting polynomial invariants that quantify the sum of $N-$way and $(N-1)-$way correlations in a pure state and $(N-1)-$way correlations in reduced states have, also, been obtained. Invariants for mixed states can be constructed through convex roof extension.

Since the form of $N-$qubit invariants is directly linked to the underlying structure of the composite system state, it can throw light on the suitability of a given state for a specific information processing task. Polynomial invariants generated by the process can help solve the related problems of local unitary equivalence and classification of states. The process is quite general and easily extendable to $d-$dimensional subsystems. We verify that specific invariants of $K < N$ qubits are a restriction on invariants on N qubits.

Recently, Li and Li [32] have used invariance of the rank of the coefficient matrix associated with the pure state of N qubits to classify N qubit states. B. Liu et al. [33] exploit the local symmetries of the states, to classify general multipartite pure states. Polynomial invariants that identify the nature of correlations in a state are useful to apply classification criteria based on type of correlations present in the state [23].

Mathematically, polynomial invariants of N qubit system expressed in terms of determinants of negativity fonts are relations between invariants of a matrix in Hilbert space of dimension 2^N and negative eigen values of sub matrices in 4×4 subspaces. We may point out that the inductive process yields exact relations between eigenvalues of a large matrix and it's sub-matrices which is an interesting mathematical result.

This work is supported by Faep Uel, Fundação Araucaria PR and CNPq, Brazil.

Appendix A: Set of degree four three qubit invariants of a four qubit state

Elements in the set of degree four three qubit invariants of four qubit state coefficients are related to each other through index raising operator defined in Eqs. 3 and 4. Starting with three qubit invariant (Eq. 36)

$$(I_3)_{(A_4)_{4,0}} = 4D^{00}_{(A_3)_1(A_4)_0} D^{00}_{(A_3)_0(A_4)_0} - \left(D^{000}_{(A_4)_0} + D^{001}_{(A_4)_0}\right)^2, \tag{A1}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(I_3)_{(A_4)_{3,1}} &= \frac{1}{4} T^+_{A_4} (I_{3,4})_{(A_4)_{4,0}} \\
&= D^{00}_{(A_3)_1(A_4)_0} \left(D^{000}_{(A_3)_0} + D^{001}_{(A_3)_0}\right) + D^{00}_{(A_3)_0(A_4)_0} \left(D^{000}_{(A_3)_1} + D^{001}_{(A_3)_1}\right) \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2} \left(D^{000}_{(A_4)_0} + D^{001}_{(A_4)_0}\right) \left(D^{0000} + D^{0001} + D^{0010} + D^{0011}\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{A2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(I_{3,4})_{(A_4)_{2,2}} &= \frac{1}{3} \left(T^+_{A_4}\right)^2 (I_{3,4})_{(A_4)_{4,0}} \\
&= \frac{2}{3} \left(D^{000}_{(A_3)_1} + D^{001}_{(A_3)_1}\right) \left(D^{000}_{(A_3)_0} + D^{001}_{(A_3)_0}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{3} \left(D^{00}_{(A_3)_1(A_4)_0} D^{00}_{(A_3)_0(A_4)_1} + D^{00}_{(A_3)_0(A_4)_0} D^{00}_{(A_3)_1(A_4)_1}\right) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{6} \left(D^{0000} + D^{0001} + D^{0010} + D^{0011}\right)^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{3} \left(D^{000}_{(A_4)_0} + D^{001}_{(A_4)_0}\right) \left(D^{000}_{(A_4)_1} + D^{001}_{(A_4)_1}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{A3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(I_{3,4})_{(A_4)_{1,3}} &= \frac{1}{24} \left(T^+_{A_4}\right)^3 (I_{3,4})_{(A_4)_{4,0}} \\
&= D^{00}_{(A_3)_1(A_4)_1} \left(D^{000}_{(A_3)_0} + D^{001}_{(A_3)_0}\right) + \left(D^{000}_{(A_3)_1} + D^{001}_{(A_3)_1}\right) D^{00}_{(A_3)_0(A_4)_1} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(D^{0000} + D^{0001} + D^{0010} + D^{0011}\right) \left(D^{000}_{(A_4)_1} + D^{001}_{(A_4)_1}\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{A4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(I_{3,4})_{(A_4)_{04}} &= \frac{1}{24} \left(T^+_{A_4}\right)^4 (I_{3,4})_{(A_4)_{4,0}} \\
&= 4D^{00}_{(A_3)_1(A_4)_1} D^{00}_{(A_3)_0(A_4)_1} - \left(D^{000}_{(A_4)_1} + D^{001}_{(A_4)_1}\right)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{A5}$$

Appendix B: Binary forms and Invariants of Polynomials by standard method of transvection

The process outlined in section (II) results in binary forms necessary to obtain N qubit invariants. The coefficients of the forms are $(N-1)$ qubit invariants. More over, these invariants are known in terms of determinants of $K-$way negativity fonts ($2 \leq K \leq N$), having physical meaning as possible sources of $K-$body correlations. In this appendix, we give the formulae relevant to obtaining the two invariants given in Eqs. ((9) and (10)) from Eq. (5). Algebra necessary for calculating the complete set of invariants for $N-$qubits in terms of determinants of negative fonts, is found in refs. ([34–36]).

A homogeneous binary form, $f(x,y)$, of degree k in variables x and y is defined as

$$f(x,y) = \sum_{m=0}^{k} a_{k-m} x^{k-m} y^m, \tag{B1}$$

where the coefficient $a_{k-m} = \frac{1}{m!(k-m)!} \frac{\partial^{k-m}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial y} f(x,y)$ for $0 \leq m \leq k$. We can identify the form $f(x,1)$ with the polynomial

$$P_k(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{k} a_{k-m} x^{k-m}, \tag{B2}$$

which gives a one-to-one correspondence between binary forms of degree k and polynomials of degree (at most) k described by $f(x,y) = f(x,1)$. On application of a definite set of transformations upon variables x, y the form f transforms to f'. Let there be associated with f some definite quantity I such that when the corresponding quantity I' is constructed for the transformed function f' the equality $I' = MI$ holds. If M depends only upon the transformations, that is, is free from any relationship with f, then I is called an invariant of f under the transformations of the set.

A differential operation called transvection is the most fundamental process of binary invariant theory. All invariants and covariants of a form or a set of forms can be derived by this process. Let $f(x_1,y_1) = \sum_{m=0}^{k} a_{k-m} x_1^{k-m} y_1^m$ be a binary form of degree k and $g(x_2,y_2) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} b_{n-m} x_2^{n-m} y_2^m$, a binary form of degree n. The r^{th} transvectant of $f(x_1,y_1)$ and $g(x_2,y_2)$ with $r \leq \min\{k,n\}$, abbreviated as $(f,g)^r$ can be calculated by using the formula

$$(f,g)^r = \frac{(n-r)!\,(k-r)!}{n!k!} \sum_{s=0}^{r} (-1)^s \binom{r}{s} \frac{\partial^r f(x_1,y_1)}{\partial^{r-s} x_1 \partial^s y_1} \frac{\partial^r g(x_2,y_2)}{\partial^s x_2 \partial^{r-s} y_2}. \tag{B3}$$

Consider the specific case of binary form

$$f(x_1,y_1) = \sum_{\mu_1=0}^{k} \binom{k}{\mu_1} (x_1)^{\mu_1} (y_1)^{k-\mu_1} (I_{N-1})_{(A_N)_{k-\mu_1,\mu_1}}, \tag{B4}$$

where

$$\binom{k}{\mu_1} (I_{N-1})_{(A_N)_{k-\mu_1,\mu_1}} = k! \frac{\partial^{k-\mu_1}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^{\mu_1}}{\partial y} f(x_1,y_1).$$

We identify $f(x_1 = -x^*, y_1 = 1)$ with $(I_{N-1})'_{(A_N)_{k,0}} \left(\left(1+|x|^2\right)^{\frac{k}{2}} \right)$ of Eq. (5). The k^{th} transvectant of $f(x_1,y_1)$ with itself that is $(f,f)^k$ is an invariant of binary form. It is given by

$$(f,f)^k = \frac{1}{k!k!} \sum_{s=0}^{k} (-1)^s \binom{k}{s} \frac{\partial^k f(x_1,y_1)}{\partial^{k-s} x_1 \partial^s y_1} \frac{\partial^k f(x_1,y_1)}{\partial^s x_1 \partial^{k-s} y_1} \tag{B5}$$

Defining $I_{N,2k} = \frac{1}{2}(f,f)^k$, we obtain

$$I_{N,2k} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=0}^{k} (-1)^s \binom{k}{s} (I_{N-1})_{(A_N)_{k-s,s}} (I_{N-1})_{(A_N)_{s,k-s}}, \tag{B6}$$

which for $k = 4$ reads as

$$I_{4,8} = (I_{N-1})_{(A_N)_{4,0}} (I_{N-1})_{(A_N)_{0.4}} - 4 (I_{N-1})_{(A_N)_{1,3}} (I_{N-1})_{(A_N)_{3,1}} + 3 \left((I_{N-1})_{(A_N)_{2,2}} \right)^2. \tag{B7}$$

Next consider

$$g(x_2,y_2) = \sum_{\mu_2=0}^{k} \binom{k}{\mu_2} (x_2)^{k-\mu_2} (y_2)^{\mu_2} \left((I_{N-1})_{(A_N)_{\mu_2,k-\mu_2}} \right)^*, \tag{B8}$$

such that $g(x_2 = 1, y_2 = x^*) = \left(1+|x|^2\right)^{\frac{k}{2}} \left((I_{N-1,k})'_{(A_N)_{0,k}} \right)^*$ (from Eq. (5)). The kth simultaneous transvectant of the forms f and g is

$$\begin{aligned} (f(x_1,y_1), g(x_2,y_2))^k &= \frac{1}{k!k!} \sum_{s=0}^{k} (-1)^s \binom{k}{s} \frac{\partial^k f(x_1,y_1)}{\partial^{k-s} x_1 \partial^s y_1} \frac{\partial^k g(x_2,y_2)}{\partial^s x_2 \partial^{k-s} y_2} \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{k} \binom{k}{s} \left| (I_{N-1,k})_{(A_N)_{k-s,s}} \right|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{B9}$$

In other words the invariant of Eq. (10), $(\mathcal{N}_{N,2k})^{A_1A_2\ldots A_{N-1}(A_N)} = (f(x_1,x_2), g(x_1,x_2))^k$. The invariants of a binary form are a graded algebra, and Gordan [37] proved that this algebra is finitely generated if the base field is the complex numbers.

---

[1] G. Vidal and R. F. Werner, Phys. Rev. A Vol. 65, 032314 (2002).
[2] K. Zyczkowski, P. Horodecki, A. Sanpera, and M. Lewenstein, Phys. Rev. A 58, 883 (1998).
[3] A. Peres, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 1413 (1996).
[4] A. Sudbery, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34, 643 (2001).
[5] J.-G. Luque E. Briand and J.-Y. Thibon. J. Phys. A, 36, 9915 (2003).
[6] J.-G. Luque and J.-Y. Thibon, J. Phys. A 39, 371 (2006).
[7] P. Levay, Phys. Rev. A 71, 012334 (2005).
[8] P. Levay, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 39, 9533 (2006).
[9] N. Linden and S. Popescu, Fortschr. Phys 46, 567 (1998).
[10] H. A. Carteret, N. Linden, Popescu, and A. Sudbery, Foundations of Physics 29, 527 (1999).
[11] E.M. Rains, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 46, 54 (2000).
[12] M. Grassl, M. Rotteler and T. Beth, Phys. Rev. A 58, 1833 (1998).
[13] A. Wong and N. Christensen, Phys. Rev. A 63, 044301 (2001).
[14] M. S. Leifer, N. Linden, and A. Winter, Phys. Rev. A 69, 052304 (2004).
[15] J.-G. Luque, J.-Y. Thibon, and F. Toumazet, Math. Struct. Comp. Sc. 17, 1133 (2007).
[16] D. Zoković and A. Osterloh, J. Math. Phys. 50, 033509 (2009).
[17] D. Li, X. Li, H. Huang, and X. Li, Quant. Inf. Comp. 9, 0778 (2009).
[18] S. S. Sharma and N. K. Sharma, Phys. Rev. A 82, 012340 (2010).
[19] S. S. Sharma and N. K. Sharma, Phys. Rev. A 82, 052340 (2010).
[20] A. Osterloh and J. Siewert, Int. J. Quant. Inf. 4, 531 (2006).
[21] O. Viehmann, C. Eltschka, and J. Siewert, Phys. Rev. A 83, 052330 (2011).
[22] X. Li and D. Li, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 45, 075308 (2012).
[23] S. S. Sharma and N. K. Sharma, Phys. Rev. A 85, 042315 (2012).
[24] C. Eltschka, T. Bastin, A. Osterloh, and J. Siewert, Phys. Rev. A 85, 022301 (2012).
[25] X. Li and D. Li, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 46, 135301, (2013).
[26] S. Hill and W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 (1997) 5022.
[27] V. Coffman, J. Kundu, and W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. A 61, 052306 (2000).
[28] S. S. Sharma and N. K. Sharma, Phys. Rev. A 87, 022335 (2013).
[29] S. S. Sharma and N. K. Sharma, Phys. Rev. A 76, 012326 (2007).
[30] S. S. Sharma and N. K. Sharma, Phys. Rev. A 78, 012113 (2008).
[31] F. Verstraete, J. Dehaene, B. De Moor, and H. Verschelde, Phys. Rev. A 65, 052112 (2002).
[32] Xiangrong Li and Dafa Li, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 180502 (2012).
[33] Bin Liu, Jun-Li Li, Xikun Li, and Cong-Feng Qiao, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 050501 (2012).
[34] David Hilbert, Theory of Algebraic Invariants, Cambridge University Press, (1993).
[35] P. J. Olver, Classical Invariant Theory, Cambridge University Press, (1999).
[36] Svante Janson, Invariants of polynomials and binary forms. arXiv: 1102.3568 (2011).
[37] P. Gordan, "Beweis, dass jede Covariante und Invariante einer Bineren Form eine ganze Function mit numerischen Coefficienten einer endlichen Anzahl solcher Formen ist", (1868).